BEST AVAILABLE COPY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000914

Α. (LASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER				
Int. Cl. 7;	C12N 1/20, A61K 35/74, A01N 63/02				
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
в. 1	FIELDS SEARCHED				
Minimum docum	mentation searched (classification system followed by class	sification symbols)			
Documentation :	searched other than minimum documentation to the extent	that such documents are included in the fields search	ed		
Ulcatronia data 1	hose computed during the international country of the				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) WPIDS, CAPLUS, AGRICOLA, MEDLINE: barley, wheat, actinomycete, actinobacteria, streptomyces, endoph?, propagat?, growth, production, biodegrad?					
C . 1	DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appro-	priate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
	SARDI, P. et al (1992) "Isolation of Endophyt				
XY	Sterilized Roots" <i>Appl. Environ. Microbiol.</i> 58 See entire document and in particular Table 2	8(8):2691-2693.	1-45		
XY	Salleh A. Antibiotics for wheat. News in Science (online) 24/08/2001 (retrieved 9/08/2004). Retrieved from the Internet: available on web.archive.org 4 October 2001 URL:http://www.abc.net.au/science/news/stories/s351442.htm see entire document 1-45		1-45		
Y	EL-SHANSHOURY, A. R. (1989) "Growth P Streptomyces atroolivaceus" J. Agronomy & See entire document and particularly page 109	Crop Science, 163:109-114.	. 1-45		
PX	COOMBS, J. T. et al (Sept. 2003) "Isolation and Identification of Actinobacteria from Surface Sterilized Wheat Roots" Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69(9):5603-5608. See entire document 1-45		1-45		
X F	X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C See patent family annex				
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document openication but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document openication but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document openication but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document openication but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention					
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to or which is cited to establish the publication date of involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other another citation or other special reason (as specified) such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art					
or other	"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "&" document member of the same patent family				
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed					
	ual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report	3 0 SEP 2004		
21 September 2004 Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU		Authorized officer			
AUSTRALIAN	N PATENT OFFICE				
E-mail address	WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA :: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au	PHILIPPA WYRDEMAN			
Facsimile No.	(02) 6285 3929	Telephone No : (02) 6283 2554			

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/AU2004/000914

C (Continuati	on). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
PX	COOMBS, J. T. et al (July 2003) "Visualisation of an Endophytic Streptomyces Species in Wheat Seed" Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69(7):4260-4262. See entire document	1-45
		_
•		
`		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/AU2004/000914

Box No. I	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)		
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:			
1.	Claims Nos.:		
البيسيا	because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:		
2. X	Claims Nos.: 38 and it's dependencies in part		
	because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:		
	Claim 38 and it's dependencies are directed to any metabolite derived from the microorganisms of the invention. The microorganisms claimed are likely to produce many metabolites that are common with all other related microorganisms and other non related microorganisms. These metabolites are clearly not encompassed within the inventive concept of this invention and as such claims to them are not supported. It is not possible to undertake a comprehensive search of all possible metabolites and their mimetics, chemical equivalents etc as claimed.		
3.	Claims Nos.:		
	because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)		
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)			
	mational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: xtra sheet		
1.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.		
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.		
3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:		
4. X	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is		
	restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-45 in so far as they relate to an endophytic actinomycete of the strain Streptomyces triticum and characterised by the nucleotide sequences <400>3, 7-10, 12-14, 17 and 19-30 and methods of using same.		
Remark	on Protest		
	No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000914

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of Boxes I to VIII is not sufficient)

Continuation of Box No: III

The Applicant has claimed more than one invention. Rule 13.1 of the PCT states the principle that an International Application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than one invention, that the inclusion of those inventions in one International Application is only permitted if all inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

Rule 13.2 of the PCT defines the method for determining whether the requirement of unity of invention is satisfied in respect of a group of inventions claimed in an International application. Unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding "special technical features." The expression "special technical features" is defined in Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings (if any).

Invention 1: An endophytic actinomycete of the strain *Streptomyces triticum* and characterised by the nucleotide sequences <400>3, 7-10, 12-14, 17 and 19-30 and methods of using same.

Invention 2: An endophytic actinomycete of the species *Nocardioides albus* and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 16 and methods of using same.

Invention 3: An endophytic actinomycete of the species *Streptomyces galilaeus* and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 2 and 15 and methods of using same.

Invention 4: An endophytic actinomycete of a species of *Streptomyces* and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 11 and methods of using same.

Invention 5: An endophytic actinomycete of the species Streptomyces argenteolus and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 18 and methods of using same.

Invention 6: An endophytic actinomycete of the species *Microbispora* and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 1 and methods of using same.

Invention 7: An endophytic actinomycete of the species Streptomyces pseudovenezuelae and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 4 and methods of using same.

Invention 8: An endophytic actinomycete of the species *Streptomyces lincolnesis* and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 5 and methods of using same.

Invention 9: An endophytic actinomycete of the species *Streptomyces bikiniensis* and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 6 and methods of using same.

Each of the above organisms are endophyitic actinomycetes that are capable of improving plant productivity, but this is not novel, as noted in the following citation. Therefore this cannot be used as a special technical feature providing unity to all of the sequences.

Salleh A. Antibiotics for wheat. News in Science (online) 24/08/2001 (retrieved 9/08/2004). Retrieved from the Internet: <URL:http://www.abc.net.au/science/news/stories/s351442.htm>

In order to search each of the inventions, this could only be done by consideration of each of the individual organisms, thereby requiring eight separate searches. Thus, each of the organisms is considered as a single invention.